



11 March 2011 – US-EU Joint Workshop "Bridging nanoEHS research efforts", Washington

### What are the Critical Parameters/ Data Needs to Understanding NP Exposure to Consumers and the General Population ?

### Frans Christensen and Christian Micheletti

### US-EU Joint Workshop, Bridging nanoEHS research efforts Washington, 10-11 March 2011

European Commission – Joint Research Centre (JRC) IHCP - Institute for Health and Consumer Protection Disclaimer: The views expressed in this presentation are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the European Commission.







#### 11 March 2011 - US-EU Joint Workshop "Bridging nanoEHS research efforts", Washington













### Nanomaterials in consumer products







3

11 March 2011 – US-EU Joint Workshop "Bridging nanoEHS research efforts", Washington

 Several 'inventories' exist, e.g. the Woodrow Wilson inventory: "While not comprehensive, this inventory gives the public the best available look at the 1,000+ manufacturer-identified nanotechnology-based consumer products currently on the market" (http://www.nanotechproject.org/inventories/consumer/)

**Consumer products – Which?** 

- Do we need a comprehensive inventory?
- Criteria for inclusion?
- Voluntary or backed with legal requirements?



**Consumer exposure potential** 

institute for Health and Consumer Protection

11 March 2011 - US-EU Joint Workshop "Bridging nanoEHS research efforts", Washington

- Liquid, powder or solid?
- Content/concentration?
- Frequency and duration of use?
- Application, e.g.
  - Spraying; e.g. sunscreen (Boxall et al. 2007)
  - Dermal applications, e.g. cosmetics (Fullerenes TiO2)
  - Articles/solid products, exposure due to wear and tear, e.g. Hsu and Chein, 2007; Göhler et al. 2010
  - Accidents?





ipp Institute for Health

5

11 March 2011 - US-EU Joint Workshop "Bridging nanoEHS research efforts", Washington

• What is released?

. . . . . .

- 'Original' nanomaterial?
- 'Modified' / decomposed nanomaterial?
- Ions (e.g. from nano-ZnO or nano-silver)?
- Aggregates/Agglomerates?
- Nanomaterial as part of something else (e.g. as part of spray droplet or nano-Ag as part of a textile fibre)?

 See e.g. Kulthong K. et al., 2010 and Geranio et al., 2009, in relation to what is released when nano-silver containing textiles are exposed to artificial sweat and when washed



**Consumer exp.** estimation

institute for Health and Consumer Protection

6

11 March 2011 – US-EU Joint Workshop "Bridging nanoEHS research efforts", Washington

### • Measurements

- Metric (mass-, surface-, number-, or?)
- Size distribution
- Costs vs. quality of information
- Background & artefact(s)
- Modelling
  - Current models:
    - **§** use mainly mass-metric
    - § not validated for NMs
    - § do underlying algorithms take account of nano-specific properties?
  - Validation/development of new models
    - § need measurement data
    - **§** how to deal with nano-specific properties like e.g. agglomeration?





11 March 2011 – US-EU Joint Workshop "Bridging nanoEHS research efforts", Washington

# General population (Exposure via the environment)

Release/emissions from sources ->Fate/transport/pathways ->Distribution in environmental media as e.g.

- Drinking water
- Ambient air
- Crops and other food





8

11 March 2011 – US-EU Joint Workshop "Bridging nanoEHS research efforts", Washington

### **Quantification of exposure**

- Analytical detection / Measurements
- Modelling (<u>Regional</u> local)



**Analytical quantification** 



Q

11 March 2011 – US-EU Joint Workshop "Bridging nanoEHS research efforts", Washington

- **1.** Sampling and analytical protocols
- 2. Background levels (Natural & other sources)
- 3. Interaction with biotic and abiotic elements (detection, sample extraction, ....)

Very few studies available:

Example (Farré et al, 2010) Detection of  $C_{60}$  and  $C_{70}$  in wastewater suspended matter;  $C_{60}$  max concentration: mg/L range

C60 background levels from natural sources?

# **IDEAN COMMISSION** Modelling: Source and release



10

11 March 2011 – US-EU Joint Workshop "Bridging nanoEHS research efforts", Washington

# **1. Production**

- 1. Wide range of values, e.g. nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> between 600 to 60000 t/y (Gottschalk et al. 2009)
- 2. Quantification of emissions: point (production facilities) and diffuse (e.g. consumer products)
  - 1. Metrics: amount released (kg/day) vs. concentration (mass, number)

## **3. MNM characterization in emissions/effluents**

- 1. Free/embedded particles
- 2. Agglomeration and aggregation state
- 3. Ions released (amount and rate)



**Modelling: Fate/pathways** 



**Environmental** 

conditions

(e.g. pH, NOM,

ion strength)

11 March 2011 – US-EU Joint Workshop "Bridging nanoEHS research efforts", Washington

### 1. Partitioning kinetics+

- Aggregation, agglomeration and de-agglomeration
- Sedimentation/re-suspension
- Solubilisation (ion leaching)
- Absorption
- 2. Transformation -
  - Physical/Chemical/Biological degradation
- 3. Bioaccumulation/Biomagnification+
  - Particles and/or ions

Need to understand and model processes (use colloids and remediation experience?)

Quantitative data about bioaccumulation/biomagnification needed

11



**Modelling examples** 



12

11 March 2011 – US-EU Joint Workshop "Bridging nanoEHS research efforts", Washington

- 1. Boxall et al. (2007). Simple algorithms estimation, NM in cosmetics and personal care products and paints usage.
  - 1. Silver: 10 ng/L (surface water)
  - 2. Titanium dioxide: 24.5 mg/L (surface water); 7 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (air outdoor)
- 2. Gottschalk et al. (2010). Probabilistic mass flow analysis model, based on fractions of NM mass allocated to each compartment
  - 1.  $TiO_2$ : 21 ng/L (surface water); 0.001 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (air)
  - 2. CNT: 3.3 pg/L (surface water); 0.008 ng/m<sup>3</sup> (air)



**Conclusions** 



11 March 2011 – US-EU Joint Workshop "Bridging nanoEHS research efforts", Washington

### • Knowledge gaps !

- Sources/uses Where? How much?
- Release What? How much?
- "Fate"
- Exposure concentrations

### • Measurements

- Metric(s), size distribution.....
- Background and artefacts

### Modelling

- Current models? Validation? "Scaling" possible?
- New models?
- Measurement data needed
- Potential of simulation testing?